UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,492	06/16/2005	Christian Mathieu	2590-108	5402
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 09/18/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			BLATT, ERIC D	
ARLINGTON,	ARLINGTON, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,492	MATHIEU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric Blatt	3734
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected should be corrected as a deplecement drawing sheet (s) including the corrected sheet	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seection is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 2-4, 7, 8, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kindlein et al. (US 6,454,696).

Kindlein discloses a device for inserting implants (Figure 27, Column 13, Line 56 to Column 14, Line 38) comprising a gripping means 154, 157, and a rotary element 157. Rotary element 157 has a plurality of channels which may be successively aligned with a trocar 104, 160. There is a push rod (pushing wire 132 shown in Figure 18) mounted so as to slide through the trocar 104, 160. The rotary element 157 defines an axis of rotation parallel to the trocar axis and the rotary element 157 holds a plurality of tubular elements (seed-spacer trains). Each tubular element defines a distinct part from the rest of the rotary element and contains at least one implant. Each tubular element is inserted into the rotary element, and the rotary element comprises mean which prevent withdrawal of the tubular elements from the rotary element. The gripping menas have a flattened section, the rotary element comprises a knurled wheel 158, and the device

comprises means which retain the rotary element and prevent withdrawal of the rotary element once the latter has been placed in the gripping means 154, 157.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kindlein et al. (US 6,454,696) in view of Fucci (US 5,607,432).

Kindlehein discloses all elements of claims 5 and 6 except for the device comprising a window for allowing a user to view the passage of implants through the device. Fucci discloses a device (Figure 4) with a window 62 to allow a user to view the passage of implants through the device. It would have been obvious to one of orindary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Kindlein by providing a window in order to allow a user to view the passage of implants through the device as taught by Fucci. (Column 4, Line 65 to Column 5, Line 3)

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kindlein et al. (US 6,454,696) in view of Fluent et al. (US 4,223,674).

Kindlein discloses all elements of claims 9 and 10 except for a flexible tongue for retaining implants the implants when the device is at rest. The device of Kindlein is

designed to allow seed-spacer trains to be loaded into the rotary element without a separate casing around them. Fluent discloses that it was old and well known to first place a plurality of implants 18 into a cartridge 20 having a flexible tongue 19 and then to load the cartridge into an inserting device. (See Fluent, Figures 1, 1A, 2) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Kindlein such that the channels of the rotary element receive cartridges containing implants, each cartridge containing a tongue to retain said implants, for purposes such as allowing the groups of implants to be handled more easily as taught by Fluent.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Blatt whose telephone number is (571)272-9735. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on 571-272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,492 Page 5

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3734

Eric Blatt 571-272-9735